Commonwealth of Massachusetts

ENF

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 13546 MEPA Analyst Aisling Eglingtor
Phone: 617-626- 10 34

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Great Woods Subd	ivision					
Street: 1560 Mammoth Road						
Municipality: Dracut		Watershed: Merrimack River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42 41	' 48.7" N	1,0017		
N:4729840.733 E:307136.576		Longitude: 71 21' 16.5" W				
Estimated commencement date: 7/05		Estimated completion date: 11/06				
Approximate cost: \$28,000,000.00		Status of project design: 100% complete				
Proponent: Douglas F. Dooley						
Street: 34 Broadway Road						
Municipality: Dracut		State: Ma	Zip Code			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Steven Eriksen			····			
Firm/Agency: Norse Environmenta	l Service					
Municipality: Tyngsboro		State: Ma	Zip Code:			
Phone: (978) 649-9932	Fax: (97	8) 649-7582	E-mail:s.er	iksen1@verizon.net		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ———————————————————————————————————						
			1 CMR 11.03)?	⊠No		
	☐\ efore? ☐\ vith ME <u>P</u> A	′es ′es (EOEA No)	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
Has this project been filed with MEPA b	efore? vith MEPA () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	∕es ∕es (EOEA No before? ∕es (EOEA No)	⊠No		
Has this project been filed with MEPA be. Has any project on this site been filed we. Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 201 CM	efore? vith MEPA (55(7)) reque MR 11.09) MR 11.11) transfer fr	/es (EOEA No before? /es (EOEA No esting: □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes)) the Common	No No No No No No No No		

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: <u>Dracut Definitive Subdivision Approval and Order of Conditions, Mass DEP Sewer Extension Permit, and NPDES-SWPPP.</u>

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):						
Land Water Energy ACEC ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☑ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	er 🔲	Transportat Solid & Haz	zardous Waste Archaeological		
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
	_AND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	84 acres			Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered	-0-	22.5 acres	22.5 acres	Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	.5	7.08	7.58	☐ 401 Water Quality Certification		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration	-0-	4995 s.f.	4995 s.f.	MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	-0-	8555 s.f. Wetland replication 1545 s.f. Wetland restoration	10100 s.f.	Water Management Act Permit New Source Approva DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways	-0-	-0-	-0-	☐ Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:		
STRU	JCTURES			Definitive Subdivision		
Gross square footage	2542 s.f.	117600 s.f.	117600 s.f.	Approval		
Number of housing units	1	55	56			
Maximum height (in feet)	24 +/-	24 +/-	24 +/-			
TRANS	PORTATION					
Vehicle trips per day	-0-	560	560			
Parking spaces	-0-	-0-	-0-			
WATER/W	ASTEWATE	R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	-0-	21,780 gpd	21,780 gpd			
GPD water withdrawal	-0-	21,780 gpd	21,780 gpd			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	-0-	21,780 gpd	21,780 gpd			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	-0-	1.05 miles	1.05 miles			

<u>CONSERVATION LAND</u> : Will the project involve the conversion of p natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?	ublic parkland or other Article 97 public				
Yes (Specify)	3No				
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation	restriction, agricultural preservation				
restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	agricultural propertation				
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠	No				
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of F	Comp Consider Vision I.B. 1. B. 1.				
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?	Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority				
Yes (Specify)	⊠No				
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Doos the project	t aita inalizata a arresta de la companya de la com				
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of	is and Archaeological Appets of the				
Commonwealth?	ic and Archaeological Assets of the				
]No				
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any list archaeological resources?	sted or inventoried historic or				
	□No				
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project	t in or adjacent to an Area of Critical				
Environmental Concern?	,				
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should in project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site altern with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitting (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)	atives and the impacts associated				
Narrative					
The proponent is proposing to raze an existing single-far Woods, a 57 lot single-family Open Space residential subdivision acres located off of Mammoth Road in Dracut, Massachusetts a consist of 57 buildable lots, three drainage parcels, and three Open and water will service the site. Approximately 35% of the land open space deeded to the Town of Dracut.	on. Great Woods consists of 83.6 and extends to Pelham, NH. The lots pen Space parcels. Municipal sewer				
Existing Conditions	······				

A single-family dwelling currently exists on the site. The remaining parcel consists consist mainly of open fields, forested areas and wetland areas. The area has a compact glacial till material, with extensive wetlands located on the northerly portion of the property adjacent to Winter Hill Drive. The bordering vegetated wetlands found on site are Palustrine Forested Wetland or Red Maple Swamps interspersed with intermittent stream flow. The Dracut Conservation Commission issued an Order of Resource Area Delineation in September 25, 2001 verifying the resource areas on site.

Alternative Analysis

Town of Dracut Zoning By-Laws zoned this area as a R-1 with a minimum lot area of 40,000 s.f. and 175 ft of frontage. Therefore, as a result of the existing zoning by-laws the alternative analysis consists of single-family residential developments. The proponent does not want to change the zoning use of the site because the surrounding area is predominately rural residential.

I. Alternative Build Condition - Single Family Conventional Subdivision

The first alternative is to design the project as a conventional subdivision with a minimum lot area of 40,000s.f. and 175 ft of frontage per the Town of Dracut Zoning By-Laws. A total of 57 single-family dwellings could be developed on the site under current zoning regulations. This alternative would result in more roadways, extension of sewer and water services, and greater lawn areas with no open space.

This alternative is less attractive as the open space concept significantly reduces the impervious areas i.e. roadways, driveways, and rooftops. A convention subdivision design does not provide or preserve open space area.

II. Alternative Build Condition - No Build

The second alternative is the "no build" alternative and leave the land as is in the natural state. However, this is not a viable economical alternative for the proponent. In addition the Town of Dracut would loose valuable tax revenues from a single-family development.

III. Alternative Open Space Development

The proposed open space residential subdivision, Great Woods, is best suited given the site characteristic and surrounding environment. The surrounding area is rural residential and the proposed project will provide 29.2 acres of open space. Approximately 20 acres of upland and 9.2 acres of wetland will be protected from future development.

The proposed development is in conjunction with the Northern Middlesex Council of Governments (NMCOG) 2020 Vision of growth management. The proposed Great Woods development will preserve important wildlife habit, water quality and quantity and enhance the quality of life for the prospective homeowners by providing 29.2 acres of open space for passive recreational activity. A portion of the open space parcel extends to Long Pond, allowing public access to this Great Pond, though no facilities are provided for trailers, boats, or vehicular traffic.